



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,579	02/23/2004	David Angus Grant Jenkinson	SOMMR-012US	9576

7663 7590 04/06/2009
STETINA BRUNDA GARRED & BRUCKER
75 ENTERPRISE, SUITE 250
ALISO VIEJO, CA 92656

EXAMINER

FEENEY, BRETT A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

4114

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/784,579	Applicant(s) JENKINSON, DAVID ANGUS GRANT	
	Examiner BRETT FEENEY	Art Unit 4114	

All Participants: (1) BRETT FEENEY
(2) BRUCE BRUNDA

Date of Interview: 31 March 2009

Status of Application: Pending
(3) ____
(4) ____

Time: 11:40 AM EST

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

 Claims discussed:
 N/A

 Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bradley B Bayat/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Inventor had called the Examiner regarding the application. The Examiner called the Attorney of record to make the Attorney aware that the inventor had called the Examiner. The Attorney was unaware of any question(s) that the Inventor may have about the instant application. The Attorney is going to contact the Inventor regarding the Inventor's question(s) and call the Examiner back if necessary.